

Date of Request for Comment: <u>November 25, 2016</u> Period of Comment: <u>November 25, 2016</u> through <u>January 6, 2017</u> Comments From: <u>ATCO Electric Ltd.</u> Date [yyyy/mm/dd]: <u>2017/01/11</u>	Contact: <u>Dan Bamber</u> Phone: <u>780-509-9152</u> Email: <u>Dan.bamber@atco.com</u>
---	---

Please place your comments/reasons for position (if any), in response to the questions set out below, in the following matrix.

Questions	Stakeholder Comments and/or Alternate Proposal
<p>The AESO is seeking comments from stakedholders with regard to the following matters:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do you agree or disagree that proposals 1 through 13 presented in the Section 502.11 Discussion Paper represent the minimum technical requirements for substations? If you disagree, please provide comments. 2. Are any of the proposals in the Section 502.11 Discussion Paper unclear? If yes, please indicate the proposal number and describe how the clarity of the proposal could be improved. 	<p><i>Comment # 1:</i> <i>ATCO Electric appreciates the opportunity to provide comments for this ISO Rule. Our comments are as follows:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proposal #7-(j): BSL applies to 300 kV and above; AESO could remove BSL for the 240/260 kV class 2. Proposal #12-(e): 2.5 cycle clearing time for 240/260 kV class is limited to few breaker types/manufacturers; 3.0 cycle breakers more readily available in the marketplace