

Stakeholder Comment Matrix – Sep. 10, 2020

Request for feedback on proposed AESO Connection Project List & Map



Period of Comment: Sep. 10, 2020 through Oct. 16, 2020
Comments From: ENMAX Corporation
Date: 2020/10/16

Contact: [REDACTED]
Phone:
Email: [REDACTED]

The AESO is seeking comments from stakeholders on its proposal for modifications to the AESO Connection Project List, and the addition of a project map.

- 1. Please fill out the section above as indicated.**
- 2. Please respond to the questions below and provide your specific comments.**
- 3. Email your completed matrix to stakeholder.relations@aesō.ca by Oct. 16, 2020**
- 4. Stakeholder comments will be published to aesō.ca, in their original state, with personal or commercially sensitive information redacted, following Oct. 16, 2020. The AESO will not be responding directly to any submissions, but submission feedback will be considered for the final product.**

| | Questions | Stakeholder Comments |
|----|--|--------------------------|
| 1. | <p>The AESO has proposed an ISD Under Review process to flag projects on the list that are reevaluating their in-service date.</p> <p>Do you have any comments related to this proposal? Are there potential impacts that should be considered? And why?</p> | No comment at this time. |
| 2. | <p>The AESO has proposed adding the status categories and inclusion column to provide more information on the progress of projects.</p> <p>Does this provide adequate visibility on project progress? If not, please explain, or provide any other comments you have on these new additions.</p> | No comment at this time. |

| | Questions | Stakeholder Comments |
|-----|--|--|
| 3. | Do the proposed project list changes provide more confidence in the accuracy of in-service dates? If not, please provide your comments. | No comment at this time. |
| 4. | Projects may have multiple energizations that span significant periods of time. The AESO is proposing to include this information in additional columns. Do you prefer this approach? If not, please explain how you would like projects with multiple energizations to be presented. | No comment at this time. |
| 5. | The AESO is proposing to include projects that have energized or cancelled in the previous three months on the list and map. Do you agree with this proposal? If not, please describe any additional options you would recommend. | ENMAX suggests that the AESO increase the timeframe from the previous three months to the previous six months for projects that have been energized or cancelled. This would help to provide more current information on the status of existing projects. |
| 6. | The AESO is proposing to provide stakeholders with an interactive map of projects on our website. Do you have any feedback related to the proposed project map? | No comment at this time. |
| 7. | Please explain in detail any additional features, functionality, or information that you would like to see made available on the project map. | No comment at this time. |
| 8. | The AESO has provided guides for both the project list and map. Does the content of these guides cover any questions you may have? If not, please describe how they could be improved. | No comment at this time. |
| 9. | Currently the AESO provides a collection of historic project lists on the website. Do you find this historic information of value? Would providing only the current month project list be sufficient? | ENMAX suggests that the historical project lists be made available. This would increase market participant visibility of the changes occurring (e.g., how long a project has been in the queue or in a certain stage) and allow for trends to be identified. |
| 10. | Please provide any additional comments or feedback you have related to the AESO's proposed project list and map. | No comment at this time. |

Thank you for your input. Please email your comments to: stakeholder.relations@aes.ca.