

## Stakeholder Comment Matrix – January 5, 2021

### Development of Proposed Amendments to Section 202.6 of the ISO rules, *Adequacy of Supply* (“Section 202.6”)



<p><b>Period of Comment:</b> January 5, 2021 through January 22, 2021</p> <p><b>Comments From:</b> ENMAX Corporation</p> <p><b>Date:</b> 2021/01/21</p>	<p><b>Contact:</b> Mark McGillivray</p> <p><b>Phone:</b></p> <p><b>Email:</b> <a href="mailto:MMcGillivray@enmax.com">MMcGillivray@enmax.com</a></p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Instructions:

1. Please fill out the section above as indicated.
2. Please refer back to the Letter of Notice under the “Related Materials” section to view related materials.
3. Please respond to the questions below and provide your specific comments (if any). Blank boxes will be interpreted as favourable comments.

**The AESO is seeking comments from Stakeholders on the development of proposed amendments to Section 202.6 of the ISO rules, *Adequacy of Supply*, with regard to the following matters:**

Development of a Proposed ISO Rule		Stakeholder Comments and/or Alternate Proposal
1.	Do you agree or disagree that the issue identified requires the development of proposed amendments to Section 202.6? Please comment.	See additional comments below.
2.	Do you agree or disagree with the potential objective or purpose of proposed amendments to Section 202.6? Please comment.	See additional comments below.
3.	Do you agree or disagree with the proposed form of consultation and timelines? Please comment.	Agree.



Development of a Proposed ISO Rule		Stakeholder Comments and/or Alternate Proposal
4.	Do you have any additional comments?	<p>ENMAX appreciates the AESO’s ongoing efforts to reduce regulatory burden, however, current Section 202.6 appears sufficient as is.</p> <p><b>Subsections 2, 3 and 4</b></p> <p>Specifically, ENMAX does not agree with the proposed removal of the detailed calculations for short-term and long-term adequacy assessments in subsections 2, 3 and 4. ENMAX views the detailed calculations as relevant and valuable information, as it provides transparency on how the AESO determines supply adequacy and can be referred back to by the market should concerns arise in the future relating to how adequacy was calculated or if it resulted in a planned outage being cancelled.</p> <p>The AESO has indicated that it will continue with detailed reporting and that the specific details would be more appropriately reflected in a supporting AESO information document, however, this may result in inconsistencies with what and how much information is reported and provided to the market. While the AESO uses additional tools and references to ensure the safe and reliable operation of the AIES, these tools help supplement but do not necessarily replace the value of having the details available for how market fundamentals are being forecasted.</p> <p><b>Subsections 7 and 8, and Administrative Amendments</b></p> <p>In regard to the proposed removal of sections 7 and 8, along with the administrative amendments, ENMAX currently has no concerns with the AESO moving forward with these changes.</p>