

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Period of Comment: October 26, 2020 through November 9, 2020</p> <p>Comments From: Suncor Energy Marketing Inc.</p> <p>Date [yyyy/mm/dd]: 2020/11/09</p> | <p>Contact: Horst Klinkenberg</p> <p>Phone: (403) 819-7125</p> <p>Email: hklinkenberg@suncor.com</p> |
|--|---|

Instructions:

1. Please fill out the section above as indicated.
2. Please refer back to the *Letter of Notice for Feedback on the Content of Proposed Options for Amended Section 505.2* under the “Related Materials” section to view the actual draft proposed materials on amended Section 505.2.
3. Please respond to the questions below and provide your specific comments, proposed revisions, and reasons for your position underneath (if any). Blank boxes will be interpreted as favourable comments.
4. Please be advised that general comments do not give the AESO any specific issue to consider and address, and results in a general response.

| Item # | | Stakeholder comments |
|--------|---|--|
| 1 | Please comment on the stakeholder session hosted on October 8, 2020. Was the session valuable? Was there something the AESO could have done to make the session more helpful? | From Suncor’s perspective, the session went well and was useful. |
| 2 | Which option do you prefer and why? | Suncor prefers option 2. Suncor always had concerns with the (non-)FEOC nature of the existing rule, which carry over into option 1. By removing the special treatment based on generation type, option 2 eliminates the issue. |

| Item # | | Stakeholder comments |
|--------|---|---|
| 3 | Do you have any concerns with the option you chose? | Suncor has no issues with option 2 from a principled perspective. However, some of the wording is still confusing and unclear. |
| 4 | Do you have any concerns with the option you did not choose? | Suncor believes that the differentiated treatment of generation based on type under option 1 is unduly discriminatory given the purpose of the Generating Unit Owner's Contribution. Suncor believes option 1 would not meet the FEOC test. |
| 5 | Any additional comments regarding the proposed amended Section 505.2? | Suncor appreciates the AESO's initiative to take a step back when evaluating the rule and the willingness to completely overhaul Section 505.2. |

Please provide any additional comments or views on the type of content that should be included in an information document associated with the proposed amended Section 505.2

Suncor has no suggestions or comments on an ID at this time beyond the standard ask that, to the extent possible, the rule itself be developed in a way that is clear enough to not require an ID.