

|      |  | Summary of 2006 Loss Factor Meeting No   | tes and Actions, Starting January 2006   |  |
|------|--|--|--|--|
|      |  | Carry-Over of Items from 20  | 05: 21, 47, 48, 49, 52, 57   |  |
| Item | Issue/Date   | Discussion   | Action/Timeline  | Status   |
| 21   | Method of<br>Communication to<br>Stakeholders  | <ul> <li>To streamline communications, owners (asset, PPA, etc) and the DOE/EUB will be on the loss factor email list. All others will be transferred to the AESO Stakeholder list.</li> <li>In order to ensure high levels of service, AESO proposes a loss factor email address to be added to the web site for enquiries</li> <li>Remainder of year meetings will be set with additional meetings as required</li> </ul>  | Email list to be updated by Feb 28 2006     Loss factor email address to be added to web site as part of an AESO web site upgrade scheduled for March/April 2006     Meeting placeholders for 2006:     March 8, 9:00-12:00, Regulation review and Rules Review     April 25, 2006 Rules     June 27, 2006 GSO update     October 3 2006, 2007 LF review | Ongoing. Meeting dates will be added or changed as required.   |
| 47   | Calibration Factor   | The calibration factor process if continuing. AESO has enlisted an auditor to authenticate results.  | ·  | Ongoing, with results on Q2 CF by late March 2006  |
| 48   | Possible Loss Factor<br>Calculation Tool for<br>Stakeholders in 2006,<br>Presentation 2006-02-<br>22 | <ul> <li>This is a carry over from 2005. The presentation outlined several alternatives. (Please refer to the AESO web site 2006-02-22 for the presentation.)</li> <li>For consultant option, AESO does not need to have access to the LF request</li> <li>In most options, information required is the location and MW size.</li> <li>Generally, the cases used would be based on the latest posted LF cases</li> <li>The LF estimates will be compressed and normalized</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Participants to provide comments on web proposal by 2006-03-01.</li> <li>AESO will collate presentation and any comments and request stakeholders to choose a preference by 2006-03-10.</li> <li>If participants do not want a web based loss calculator, they should indicate this as their preference.</li> </ul>                             | 2006-02-22. AESO will proceed based on stakeholders wishes. Further discussion may be required if a consensus does not form. |
| 49   | Reconciling forecast loss factors using actual generation  | TCE suggested that a process should be created where generator loss factors are to be recalculated after actual generation levels are  | By 2005-09-13, AESO will present a proposal to address loss factor forecasts to actual   | Ongoing, Deferred to 2006. Deferred.   |

|     | levels. (Energy<br>Account instead of<br>Deferral account?) | known (Q3 of the following year) and adjustments to the generator's revenue be made through a deferral account process. During the meeting, more than one stakeholder indicated support for the suggestion and more than one stakeholder did not want to pursue the suggestion. The EUB indicated they are reluctant to accept 2006 GTA changes with the decision occurring in Sept 2005 without a unanimous stakeholder position. The 2006 GTA calibration factor process was filed as fully prospective. | Request for participants to send in any ideas they have by Sept 20 2005 on the process or the forecast for consideration  Suggestions for the proposal included:  - evaluation of accuracy  - process to address errors  - use of actual generator output  - process to make adjustments |  |
|-----|---|--|--|--|
| 52  | Dynamic loss<br>Calculations                                | Allen Crowley (Luscar) proposed what he believes is a more correct method of determining loss factors. He would like a process to gather information now to make assessments on loss factors by 2009 based on a 'best outcome' generation scenario and compare to an actual scenario.  | <ul> <li>Allen to provide his proposal to the group for review in about 6 months.</li> <li>AESO will respond to the letter from Luscar in 2006</li> </ul>  | Ongoing.<br>2005-09-13 – Allen to<br>send out report to all<br>participants. |
| 57. | Regulation changes, loss factors                            | The DOE discussed proposed changes<br>with stakeholders on February 22, 2006   | A second review (March 08 2006)     will be convened to review     comments with sakeholders   | Ongoing  |
|     |   | Loss Factor Meeting, Wednesday Februa  |  |  |
| 60. | Decision Document   | <ul> <li>Decision document will be completed in Q1 2006</li> <li>It will not contain complaint items</li> </ul>  | Participants to notify AESO by<br>March 3 2006 of any further<br>comments they have on the<br>document   | Final document to<br>be posted by Q2<br>2006                                 |
| 61. | 2010 Loss Factor<br>Estimates                               | <ul> <li>Estimates provided to stakeholders on February 21, 2006</li> <li>Stakeholders supported the scenario approach</li> <li>Some stakeholders requested specific unit LF's. AESO prefers area LF's as specific values can infer the location of confidential unit additions</li> <li>Stakeholders supported the area approach using a map and asked if the areas can be more defined by</li> </ul>   | AESO will re-issue 2010 results with<br>more delineation   | Repost for late February 2006.   |

| geography (i.e. West vs Northwest, Wab vs E Edmonton, etc)  • Stakeholders request a list of major transmission enhancements be included, e.g. transmission circuits |  |
|--|--|
| and shunt devices  |  |

## Legend:

- Yellow Highlighting means item has been completed, and will be removed from the next version of notes.
  Bolding means item is incomplete or has been updated with new information.
  Action list includes submissions from 2005 and new items in 2006.